

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gcv

APPLICATION NO.	N NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/982,951	1	0/22/2001	Yasuhiko Tanaka	KP-9185	8292
466	7590	07/14/2003			
YOUNG &			EXAMINER		
745 SOUTE ARLINGTO		REET 2ND FLOO! 2202	R	DALAKIS, MICHAEL	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2851	
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/982,951	TANAKA, YASUHIKO					
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Dalakis	2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the contract which a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the attached response to arguments.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. 							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:							
	and the second second						

1. Applicant's arguments filed June 23, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the "implicit" recitation of an electrically conductive cover in the independent claims of the present invention is neither taught nor suggested by Stanchus et al. In particular, the applicant argues that the only elements of the device disclosed by Stanchus that are electrically conductive are contacts 86 (labeled as 80 in Figure 1) and 96. The applicant then follows by stating that neither the camera back cover 12 nor the databack cover 14 is described as being electrically connected itself.

The examiner would like to point out the disclosure in column 3, lines 6-10 of Stanchus, wherein an electrically conductive contact 96 is disclosed as being secured to the inner wall of databack cover 14. This disclosure certainly anticipates the features recited in independent claims 1 and 9 on which the applicant is basing his arguments.

Claim 1 merely recites a cover for covering a part of the maid body, the cover "having conductivity". First, there is no specific recitation of electrical conductivity and second, the disclosure of Stanchus highlighted above anticipates this recitation in claim 1. The contact 96 as secured to the databack cover is read as being part of the cover. Thus, the cover is electrically conductive. Claim 9 recites "an electrically conductive cover" arranged to cover a part of the main body. Again, the highlighted disclosure of Stanchus anticipates this recitation in the claim.

For at least these reasons, the rejection is maintained and claims 1-11 remain rejected over the prior art of record as described in the Final Rejection of March 26, 2003.

Application/Control Number: 09/982,951

Art Unit: 2851

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Dalakis whose telephone number is 703.305.4021. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 703-308-2847. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9318 for regular

communications and 703.872.9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.872.9317.

MD

July 10, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 3

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800